

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

AUSTIN, TEXAS

Hop. C. J. Wilde Compty Auditor Nucces County Corpus Christi, Texas

Dear Sir:

Opinion No. V-80

Re: Authority of County Judge to employ Special Investigator to work out of his office; and the maximum salary which may be paid Stenographer or Clerk of County Judge.

The subject matter in your recent requests for opinions of this Department are so related that we feel that we can answer the same more accurately and with greater dispatch by combining your questions and rendering one opinion. We quote in part from each of your letters as follows:

"....there has been a request made by the Gounty Judge that he be allowed a Special Investigator to work out of his office and the salary for said Investigator to be paid out of the General Fund of Euroes County.

"Vill you therefore please advise this office whether or not it is possible to place a Deputy or Assistant in the office of the County Judge.

"Please advise as to what you consider the maximum salary that may be paid to the stenographer or clerk of the County Judge within Nucces County."

In answer to your first question, that is, whether or not the County Judge may be allowed a Special Investigator to work out of his office and the salary to be paid out of the General Fund of Husces County, since there is no provision in the statutes for the appointment of a Special Investigator for the County Judge, it is the opinion of this Department that the same cannot legally be made. Article 3902, V.A.C.S., is a general statute authorizing the appointment of deputies, assistants or clorks by any "district, county or precinct officer." The first sentence of said Article reads as follows:

Whenever any district, county or precinct officer shell require the services of deputies, assistants or elerks in the performance of his duties he shell apply to the County Commissioners' Court of his county for authority to appoint such deputies, assistants or clerks, stating by sworn application the number needed, the position to be filled and the amount to be paid."

Section 3a of Article 3902, V.A.C.S., which attempted to authorize the County Judge of counties having a population of not less than 48,000 and not more than 49,000 inhabitants according to the preceding Federal Census, to employ one person "as office assistant, bookkeeper and stemagrapher" has been declared void and unconstitutional by this Department in Opinion So. 0-364. Section 3a of Article 3902, having been held unconstitutional, the 46th legislature, during its regular session, passed Article 3903c, V.A.G.S., which reads as follows:

Bection 1. That the County Judge in all counties in Texas having a population of not less than forty-eight thousand, six hundred (48,600) nor more than forty-nine thousand (49,000) according to the last preceding or any future Federal Consus be empowered to appoint an Assistant.

"Section 2. The salary of such Assistant shall be in an amount not to exceed Eighteen Hundred Pollars (\$1800) per annum and shall be subject to the consent and approval of the Commissioners Court of such counties."

Ausges County has a population of 92,661 persons according to the last Federal Counts. Therefore, since it does not come within the particular bracket as set out in Article 390%, supra, it is not accessary to pass upon the validity of the Article in order to enswer your inquisies.

Article 3912s, Section 13, V.A.C.S., in part is as follows:

"Sec. 13. The Commissioners' Court in counties having a population of twenty thousand (20,000) inhabitants or more, and less them one hundred and einety thousand (190,000) inhabitants according to the last preceding Federal Gensus, is hereby authorized and it shall be its duty to fix the salaries of all the

following named officers, to-wit: wheriff, assessor and collector of taxes, county judge, county attorney, including criminal district attorneys and county attorneys who perform the duties of district attorneys, district clark, county clark, treasurer, hide and enimal inspector. . .

"(a) The Commissioners Court may authorize the employment of a stempgrapher by the county judge and pay for such services out of the General Fund of the county to an amount not to exceed Fifteen Sundred Dollars (\$1500) per year."

Article 1934a-1, V.A.C.S., Sections I and 2, are as follows:

"Sec. 1. In any county of this State of less than one hundred thousand inhabitants, wherein is altented a city having an actual population of 35,489 inhabitable or more, the County Judge shall assertain the population of any sity in his county necessary to be secertained under this Act by making application to the mayor of any such dity for a certificate as to the population of such city. It shell be the duty of any such mayor to escertain by some reasonable, accurate estimate the population of any each alty and his certificate to man under outh shall authorize the County Judge to a seven its correctness and act open the information contained in each certificate in making any appointment of a stonographer or clerk under this Act. The population of the county shall be based on the latest United States Consus for the purposes of this Act.

then 100,000 impositants whereim is situated a city being a population of 38,469 immositants so certified by the sayor of the town, as provided in Section 1, hereof, the County Judge shall be allowed to employ a stenographer or clerk at a salary not exceeding One Sindred and Twenty-five (\$125.00) Dollars per month, such salary to be paid monthly by the County by warrents drawn on the general county fund, under excers of the Commissioners Court of such county. Such stenographer or clerk shall be subject to removel at the will of such County Judge.

The population of Muscos County is 92,661. The population of Corpus Christi is 57,301. Your attention is respectfully directed to the language of Texas Jurisprudence, Vol. 39, p. 212, Sec. 114:

"In case of conflict between a general provision and a special provision dealing with the same subject, the former is controlled or limited by the latter; and this is so whether the provisions in question are contained in the same act or in different enactments. In other words, when a statute makes a general provision apparently for all cases and a special provision for a particular case or class, the former yields and the latter prevails in so far as the particular case or class is concerned. In such circumstances, the special provision or statute is regarded as though it were an exception or proviso, removing something from the operation of the general law."

Many cases are cited by the text in support of the state-

You are therefore advised that Article 1974e-1, supra, is the statute, applicable to Musces County, since it is a sure specific statute and controls the general one. It is a definite limitation upon Article 1902 in respect to the counties to which it applies end, since it specifically controls the case of the County Judge's stanographer or clark, it removes the County Judge from the coverage of the phrase "any district, county or precinct officer" of Article 3902.

To hold otherwise would in effect sanction the appointment by the County Judge of "deputies, assistants, and clerks" in addition to a strangrapher or elerk actionized under Article 1934s-1. It cannot be presented that such was the intention of the Legislature.

Finally, nowhere wise other than the above quoted statutes are we able to find a legislative grant of authority to the County Judge to employ a deputy or assistant. Therefore, it is the opinion of this Department that the County Judge of Eusces County cannot legally appoint a deputy or assistant in his office.

Assuming, however, that enteretion "(a)" under Section 13 of Article 7912a, V.A.C.5., is applicable to Eusces County, even then the maximum schary which the stenographer of the County Judge' could receive would be \$1500.00 per year because Senate Sill No. 123 becase effective on May 9, 1945, while Subsection "(a)" under Section 15 of Article 7912a, supra, because effective on June 18, 1945, and, therefore, could not have been emended because it was not in effect at the time of the enactment of Senate Sill 125.

Though it is the opinion of this Repartment that the County Judge of Russes County cannot legally appoint a deputy or assistant in his office, he may appoint a stenographer or clerk under Article 1934s-1, V.A.C.S. The maximum salary which may be paid such steno-

grapher or clerk is not to exceed \$125.00 per month, the same to be paid out of the General Fund.

SUPPLANT

- l. The County Judge of Museus County cannot legally be allowed a Special Investigator in his office since there is no statutory provision for the case.
- 2. Reither can the County Judge of Eucoes County appoint a deputy or essistant in his office in view of Article 1934a-1 which is a special statute and controls over the general ons. (39 Tex. Jur., p. 212, Sec. 114.)
- 3. The County Judge of Nuclea County may employ a stemographer or clerk, with the approval of the Countsaloners' Court, and the maximum solary which may legally be paid the atemographer or clerk is \$125.00 per month. (Art. 1954s-1, V.A.C.S.)

Yours wary truly

ATTORNEY CENERAL OF TEXAS

Br

Bruce Allen Assistant

BA toju

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

